Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/424,660	BECKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) <u>Katherine A. Bareford</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Darling</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 May 2004</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
33, 38		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Mr. Darling agreed to an Examiner's Amendment to make claim 33 depend on claim 32 and claim 38 depend on claim 36 in order to place the case in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Kath OBIS		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)